

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/501,970	LEE, JAE WOO
	Examiner Dennis H. Pedder	Art Unit 3612

All Participants: _____

(1) Dennis H. Pedder. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Ruschmann. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 October 2006 **Time:** 11:10am

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

12

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Ruschmann previously requested continuation of prosecution and agreed on this date to amend claim 12 to delete an indefinite term that implies unrecited structure and to delete a clause not considered essential to patentability that did not appear to have disclosure support as filed.